# Teil 2

#### Electric fences around the infected core area



https://www.kr-zlinsky.cz



## **Electric fence installed around the high-risk zone**











#### **Odour fences around the infected area**

- synthetic foam with 3-Methylbutanoic acid (isovaleric acid)
- imitation of typical predators smell /odour
- strong pungent cheesy or sweaty smell
- it is a major component of the cause of unpleasant foot odour
- most durable product chosen resistant against weather conditions (+ with slow evaporation)
- 5 m distance / 4 weeks period
- product: Pacholek koncentrát B, Ekoplant, s.r.o.



#### Higher risk area (fenced area) - unharvested fields left



115 hectares of unharvested fields (rape, maize and wheat) were left for wild boars providing both food and shelter

#### Enhanced passive surveillance of WB found dead



### Motivated or/and organised searching of carcasses

- very inaccessible terrain
- dense vegetation





### **Intensive (active) searching of cadavers**

- Intensive search for wild boar cadavers from 22/03, 2018 to 22/04, 2018.
- After depopulation, before new vegetation season
- **56 cadavers, 10** of them were PCR ASF positive
- Cadavers were 3-6 months old
- Infections and death of wild boars occurred at the end of 2017 or early 2018
- Samples with positive results were sent to European Reference Laboratory for ASF, Madrid, Spain **no live virus detected in these samples.**





#### Increased passive surveillance of dead WB – motivated searching for carcasses





## **Ban of Hunting – How long ?**

- Developing of hunting biosecurity measures aimed in avoiding the further spread of the virus through hunting activities
- Understanding the geographical extents of the involved areas
- Prevention of wild boar disturbance
- Hunters have to be trained to reduce the probability of further spread of the virus in the environment and outside the infected area

## **Timeline of hunting regulations**





## Hunting and trapping with rendering

- Each Hunting ground is **equipped with containers** or other means of wild boar temporary storage.
- In each collection point is available an equipment for cleaning and disinfection.
- Hunters have to **avoid possible contamination** of vehicles, hunting equipment, yards and houses.
- Common containers are allowed only for hunting grounds belonging to the same Wild Boar Management Units and when sharing the same infected hunting area



## Hunting in the infected area

- Hunting of wild boar is allowed only for selected and trained hunters, motivated by financial compensation.
- **Biosecurity** measures of hunters during + after hunt.
- **Identification** of hunted WB
- All hunted and found dead wild boar must be disposed in the rendering plant
- Sampling at rendering plant, not in the hunting ground
- Hunters associations are compensated for the lost of venison

## Disposal of hunted wild boars from the infected area to determined rendering plant



Samples taken in rendering plant by official veterinarian

# Hunting of wild boars in defined areas from 26/06, 2017 to 31/01, 2018

ASF - hunting of wild boars in defined areas from 26/06, 2017 to 31/01, 2018								
	until 31 January 2018							
Area	Fenced	Size in km <sup>2</sup>	Hunting beginn	Culled wild boar	Culled wild boar per km <sup>2</sup>			
Highest risk area (fenced)	yes	57,2	Sep 17	247	4,32			
High risk area	no	102,8	Sep 17	401	3,9			
Low risk area	no	874	July 17	1 874	2,14			
Intensive Hunting area	no	8500	July 17	12 601	1,48			



## **Trapping of wild boars**

- 32 traps in the area
- cage traps with sensors and cameras

Fenced area	total trapped	negat.	posit.	prevalence
in	40	36	4	10%
out	66	66	0	-







## Hunting by police snipers in the infected area

task: as fast as possible total depopulation inside the fenced area - quickly, silently, efficiently and with high biosecurity

- individual hunting by Police snipers (Elite Squad, Police Special Unit, Airport snipers)
- started from 16 October 2017 (3 days a week during 10 weeks)
- in total 157 WB hunted 8 positive for ASF
- snipers trained for hunting biosecurity
- organization and coordination by RVA and by regional hunters



- over night hunting (18:00 6:00)
- mobile thermovision used
- snipers with silencers, especial ammunition

#### ALL hunted WB collected + rendered !!







## Training of snipers

#### on a moving target



## Collection of hunted WB during snipers hunting done by SVA





## Weekly incidence in relation to hunting measures

number of WB found dead





### Weekly incidence - 3 epidemic peaks



## Carcasses "age" – date of finding vs. date of death (estimated)







#### The speed rate of disease expansion/spread

**DIAMETER 11 KM / 11 MONTHS** = SLOW speed = Ø 0,5 km/ 1 month despite the high WB density (8-10 WB / km2)



pased on the estimated date of death of WB foun



## Estimation of the number of wild boars in the core area (fenced area)

- Original estimate (July 2017) was 150 200 (250 max) wild boars only
- Total number of hunted or found dead wild boars is 582 to 17/09, 2018 (299 hunted; 283 found dead)
- New estimates were made in July August 2018 using trail cameras (game cameras/camera traps), thermovisions and watching by hunters. The total estimated number of WB was 15-20 (August 2018), only individual wild boars were observed.

The current situation confirms that the process of reducing the number of wild boars has been correct and effective.



## Summary: What we learned from our "small" outbreak?

### The best rated measures (effectiveness and practicality):

- motivated and active passive surveillance fast systematic removal of carcasses
- **ban on hunting** (despite public/hunters opposition and political pressure)
- Hunting in infected area is possible only under strict biosecurity conditions
- disposal of hunted wild boars from the infected area ALL WB to rendering plant from PART II.
- hunters motivation (financial rewards and compensation)
- hunting in the infected area by professionals to depopulate WB (snipers)
- unharvested fields in infected area (shelter, feed)

## **Conclusions**

- ✓ indirect transmission by a human activity seems to be the most probable way of introduction to the Czech Republic
- ✓ the uniqueness of the Czech outbreak is the local occurrence in a small solitary area without direct connection to the affected localities in the neighbouring countries
- ✓ by implementing strict measures in a small isolated area the human factor (regarding to spreading of infection) has been substantially eliminated
- ✓ responsible authorities took both pioneering and alternative measures
- ✓ <u>Key point is collaboration of all stakeholders !!!</u>



## If you want to manage the infection, behave like a virus, not like a pig!





## Thank you !!!

